v.

FILED MAY 1 1 2015

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JIM MAXWELL and KAY MAXWELL, individually and as guardians of TREVER ALLEN BRUCE and KELTEN TANNER BRUCE; and JIM MAXWELL, as executor of the ESTATE OF KRISTIN MARIE MAXWELL-BRUCE.

Plaintiffs,

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; ALPINE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT; VIEJAS FIRE DEPARTMENT; DEPUTY LOWELL; BRYAN "SAM" BRUCE; DOES 1-50.

Defendants.

Civil No. 07cv2385 JAH(JLB)

**VERDICT** 

We the jury in the above-entitled action, unanimously find as follows: 1 2 Start with Question 1. After you answer each question, follow the instructions 3 that are placed in italics to determine which question to answer next. 4 5 PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS CONCERNING KRISTIN'S AMBULANCE 6 Question 1. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe and/or Jeffrey Jackson 7 8 liable to Plaintiffs under section 1983 in the manner described in Court's 9 Instruction No. 20? 10 Yes No 11 X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. 12 13 X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. 14 15 If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, go to Question 2. If you answered 16 "No" as to both Defendants, go to Question 3. 17 18 19 Question 2. Are Defendants Gregory Reynolds and/or Anthony 20 Salazar liable to Plaintiffs under section 1983 in the manner described in 21 22 Court's Instruction No. 19 with regard to the liability described in Court's 23 Instruction No. 20? 24 Yes No 25 As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. 26 27 As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. 28 Go to Question 2(a).

1

07cv2385

1	Question 2(b). What amount of nominal damages do you award to
2	Plaintiffs as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nominal
3 4	damages.)
5	
6	\$ to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce (Nominal damages must
7	be \$1.00 or less.)
8	\$ to Trever Bruce (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
10	\$ to Kelten Bruce (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
11	\$ to Jim Maxwell (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
12	\$ to Kay Maxwell (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
13 14	
15	Go to Question 3.
16	
17	Question 3. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Jeffrey Jackson,
18 19	Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiffs under state law
20	in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 27?
21	Yes No
22	X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
23   24	
25	
26	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
27	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
00	

07cv2385

4

\$	to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce
\$	to Trever Bruce
\$	to Kelten Bruce
\$	to Jim Maxwell
\$	to Kay Maxwell
Ques	Question 6.  tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
Ques	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
Ques Plaintiffs as damages.)	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
Ques Plaintiffs as damages.)  \$	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to sto these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nomina
Ques Plaintiffs as damages.)  \$	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nomina to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce (Nominal damages
Ques Plaintiffs as damages.)  \$ must i	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nomina  to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce (Nominal damages the \$1.00 or less.)
Ques Plaintiffs as damages.)  \$ must i	tion 5. What amount of nominal damages do you award to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nomina  to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce (Nominal damages to \$1.00 or less.)  to Trever Bruce (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)

07cv2385

JIM MAXWELL'S CLAIMS FOR EXCESSIVE FORCE AND BATTERY 2 3 Is Defendant Gary Kneeshaw liable to Plaintiff Jim Question 6. 4 Maxwell under section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction 6 No. 22? (Also consider Court's Instructions Nos. 21 and 25 in assessing liability as to this claim.) 8 Yes. X No. 10 11 Go to Question 7. 12 Question 7. Is Defendant Gary Kneeshaw liable to Plaintiff Jim 13 Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 14 26? 15 16 Yes. 17 X No. 18 19 20 If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, go to Question 8. If you answered "No," 21 skip Question 8 and go to the instructions preceding Question 9. 22 23 Was the conduct of Defendant Gary Kneeshaw 24 Question 8. 25 permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 29? 26 Yes. 27 No.

28

07cv2385

6

1	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, go to Question 9.
2	If you answered "Yes" to Question 7 <u>and</u> "No" to Question 8, go to Question 9.
3	Otherwise, go to Question 11.
5	
6	Question 9. Answer this question as to claims as to which your answer was
7	"Yes" in response to Questions 6-7, and only include damages as to the claim covered
8	in Question 7 if you also answered "No" to Question 8. What is the amount of
0	economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Jim Maxwell proved by
1	a preponderance of the evidence he suffered as to these claims? (See Court's
2  3	Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37
4	regarding mitigation.)
15	\$
16	If the amount of damages you awarded is \$0, go to Question 10. Otherwise
17 18	go to Question 11.
9	
20	Question 10. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
21	Plaintiff Jim Maxwell as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36
22	regarding nominal damages.)
23	regen wing namuna camanges.)
25	
26	\$ (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
27	
28	Go to Question 11.

07cv2385

#### 1 JIM MAXWELL'S CLAIMS THAT HE WAS UNLAWFULLY SEIZED AND/OR SEPARATED FROM HIS FAMILY AFTER DEFENDANT 2 KNOBBE TOLD HIM OF KRISTIN'S DEATH 3 4 Question 11. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe and/or Gary Kneeshaw 5 liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under section 1983 in the manner described 6 in Court's Instruction No. 24? (Also consider Court's Instructions Nos. 21 and 7 8 22 in assessing liability as to this claim.) 9 Yes No 10 **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. 11 X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. 12 13 14 Go to Question 12. 15 16 Question 12. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe and/or Gary 17 18 Kneeshaw liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under section 1983 in the 19 manner described in Court's Instruction No. 23? 20 Yes No 21 X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. 22 23 X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. 24 If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant in response to Questions 11 25 and/or 12, go to Question 13. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants in response 26

to Questions 11 and 12, go to Question 16.

27

28

07cv2385

8

Question 13. Are Defendants Gregory Reynolds and/or Anthony
Salazar liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under section 1983 in the manner
described in Court's Instruction No. 19 with regard to the liability described
in Court's Instruction Nos. 23 and/or 24?
Yes No
As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
Go to Question 14.
Question 14. Answer this question as to claims as to which your answer was
"Yes" in response to Questions 11, 12, and 13. What is the amount of economic
and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Jim Maxwell proved by a
preponderance of the evidence he suffered as to these claims? (See Court's
Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37
regarding mitigation.)
¢
If the amount of damages you awarded is \$0, go to Question 15. Otherwise
go to Question 16.

1	Question 15. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
2	Plaintiff Jim Maxwell as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36
3   4	regarding nominal damages.)
5 6 7	\$ (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
8 9 10	Go to Question 16.
11 12 13 14	JIM MAXWELL'S CLAIMS THAT HE WAS UNLAWFULLY SEIZED AND/OR SEPARATED FROM HIS FAMILY AT ANY TIME OTHER THAN AFTER DEFENDANT KNOBBE TOLD HIM OF KRISTIN'S DEATH
15	Question 16. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw,
16	Jeffrey Jackson, and/or William Reilly liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under
17 18	section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 21?
19	Yes No
20	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
21	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
22   23	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
24	X As to Defendant William Reilly.
25 26 27 28	Go to Question 17.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Question 17. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw,
2	Jeffrey Jackson, and/or William Reilly liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under
3 4	section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 23?
5	Yes No
6	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
7	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
8	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
0	As to Defendant William Reilly.
1	
2	If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant as to Question 16 and/or 17, go to
3	Question 18. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants in response to Questions 16
15	and 17, go to Question 21.
6	
8	Question 18. Are Defendants Gregory Reynolds and/or Anthony
9	Salazar liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under section 1983 in the manner
20	described in Court's Instruction No. 19 with regard to the liability described
21   22	in Court's Instruction Nos. 21 and/or 23?
23	Yes No
24	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
25	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
26 27	
28	Go to Question 19.

Question 19. Answer this question as to claims as to which your answer was "Yes" in response to Questions 16, 17, and 18. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Jim Maxwell proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.) If the amount of damages you awarded is \$0, go to Question 20. Otherwise, go to Question 21. Question 20. What amount of nominal damages do you award to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell in connection as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36 regarding nominal damages.) \$\_\_\_\_\_ (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.) Go to Question 21. 

#### 1 KAY MAXWELL'S CLAIMS THAT SHE WAS UNLAWFULLY SEIZED AND/OR SEPARATED FROM HER FAMILY 2 3 Question 21. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, 4 Jeffrey Jackson, and/or William Reilly liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under 5 section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 21? Yes No 8 X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. 9 **X** As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. 10 11 X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. 12 X As to Defendant William Reilly. 13 14 15 Go to Question 22. 16 Question 22. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, 17 Jeffrey Jackson, and/or William Reilly liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under 18 section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 23? 19 20 Yes No 21 **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. 22 X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. 23 X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. 24 25 🗶 As to Defendant William Reilly. 26

27

If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant as to Question 21 and/or 22, go to 1 2 Question 23. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants in response to Questions 21 3 and 22, go to Question 26. 4 5 6 Question 23. Are Defendants Gregory Reynolds and/or Anthony 7 Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under section 1983 in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 19 with regard to the liability described in Court's Instruction Nos. 21 and/or 23? 10 11 Yes No 12 As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. 13 As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. 14 15 16 Go to Question 24. 17 18 Question 24. Answer this question as to claims as to which your answer was 19 20 "Yes" in response to Questions 21, 22, and 23. What is the amount of economic 21 and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Kay Maxwell proved by a 22 preponderance of the evidence she suffered as to these claims? (See Court's 23 Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 24 25 regarding mitigation.) 26 27 28

	Case 3.07-cv-02365-JAH-JLB   Document 433   Filed 05/11/15   Page 16 01 52
1	If the amount of damages you awarded is \$0, go to Question 25. Otherwise,
2	go to Question 26.
3	
4	
5	Question 25. What amount of nominal damages do you award to
6	Plaintiff Kay Maxwell as to these claims? (See Court's Instruction No. 36
7	regarding nominal damages.)
8	regarding nominal damages.
9	
10	\$ (Nominal damages must be \$1.00 or less.)
11	
12	Go to Question 26.
13	Go to Question 20.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

JIM MAXWELL'S CLAIMS FOR INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question 26. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 28?

9	Yes	No
10		X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
11		As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
12		X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
13 14		X As to Defendant William Reilly.
15		X As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
16		X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
17		

If you answered "Yes" to Question 26 as to any Defendant, go to Question 27.

If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 29.

1	Quest	ion 27.	Was the	conduct o	f Defen	dants Mic	hael Knobb	e, Gary
2	Kneeshaw,	Jeffrey	Jackson,	William	Reilly,	Gregory	Reynolds,	and/or
3 4	Anthony Sa	lazar pe	rmissible	in the mar	nner des	cribed in (	Court's Inst	ruction
5	No. 29?							
6	Yes	No						
7 8			As to Def	endant M	lichael I	Knobbe.		
9	-		As to Def	endant G	ary Kne	eshaw.		
10	***************************************		As to Def	endant Je	ffrey Jac	ckson.		
11			As to Def	endant W	/illiam I	Reilly.		
12			As to Def	endant G	regory I	Reynolds.		
13 14		***************************************	As to Def	endant A	nthony	Salazar.		
15								
16	If you a	answered	" <u>No</u> " to an	ıy Defenda	nts as to	Question 2	7, go to Ques	tion 28.
17 18	If you answer	red " <u>Yes</u> "	' to all Def	endants as	to Quest	tion 27, go	to Question	29.
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>								
26								
27								
28								

Question 28. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Jim Maxwell proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the intentional infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Jim Maxwell in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 29.

Question 29. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Jim Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 30? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. **X** As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. **X** As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. X As to Defendant William Reilly. **X** As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. Go to Question 30. 

Question 30. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Iim Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 31? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. **X** As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. **X** As to Defendant William Reilly. **X** As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. **X** As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. If you answered "Yes" to Question 29 and/or 30 as to any Defendant, go to Question 31. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 33. 

1	Question 31. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
9	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	
12	As to Defendant William Reilly.
13	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	
16	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 31, go to Question 32.
17	If you answered "Yes" to all Defendants as to Question 31, go to Question 33.
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Question 32. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Jim Maxwell proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the negligent infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Jim Maxwell in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 33.

## KAY MAXWELL'S CLAIMS FOR INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question 33. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 28?

9	Yes	No
10	••••	X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
11		X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
12		★ As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
13		•
14		X As to Defendant William Reilly.
15		X As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
16		X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
17		

If you answered "Yes" to Question 33 as to any Defendant, go to Question 34.

If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 36.

1	Question 34. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
9 10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	,
13	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 34, go to Question 35.
16 17	If you answered "Yes" to all Defendants as to Question 34, go to Question 36.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23   24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Question 35. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Kay Maxwell proved by a preponderance of the evidence she suffered in connection with the intentional infliction of emotional distress against her? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Kay Maxwell in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 36.

Question 36. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 30? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. **X** As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. As to Defendant William Reilly. **X** As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. Go to Question 37. 

Question 37. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kay Maxwell under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 31? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. **X** As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. **X** As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. X As to Defendant William Reilly. As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. **X** As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. If you answered "Yes" to Question 36 and/or 37 as to any Defendant, go to Question 38. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 40. 

1	Question 38.	Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey J	Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3	Anthony Salazar peri	missible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?	
6	Yes No	
7	A A	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8		As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
9		·
10	<i>F</i>	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11		As to Defendant William Reilly.
12 13	$\blacksquare$	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
14	,	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15		
16	If you answered "	" <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 38, go to Question 39.
17		
18	If you answered <u>1es</u>	to all Defendants as to Question 38, go to Question 40.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
26   26		
27		
28		

Question 39. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Kay Maxwell proved by a preponderance of the evidence she suffered in connection with the negligent infliction of emotional distress against her? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Kay Maxwell in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 40.

# TREVER BRUCE'S CLAIMS FOR INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question 40. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Trever Bruce under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 28?

Yes	No
	🗶 As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
•	🗶 As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
	As to Defendant William Reilly.
	X As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
	X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
If you	inswared "Ves" to Ouestion 10 as to any Defendant, as to Ouestion 11

If you answered "Yes" to Question 40 as to any Defendant, go to Question 41.

If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 43.

1	Question 41. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13 14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	
16	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 41, go to Question 42.
17	
18	If you answered "Yes" to all Defendants as to Question 41, go to Question 43.
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Question 42. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Trever Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the intentional infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Trever Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 43.

Question 43. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Trever Bruce under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 30?

Yes No

X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
X As to Defendant William Reilly.
X As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.

If you answered "Yes" to Question 43 as to any Defendant, go to Question 44.

If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 46.

II	
1	Question 44. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13 14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	
16	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 44, go to Question 45.
17 18	If you answered "Yes" to all Defendants as to Question 44, go to Question 46.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23   24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Question 45. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Trever Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the negligent infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Trever Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 46.

KELTEN BRUCE'S CLAIMS FOR INFLICTION

OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS Question 46. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kelten Bruce under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 28? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. **X** As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. **X** As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. X As to Defendant William Reilly. As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. **X** As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. 

If you answered "Yes" to Question 46 as to any Defendant, go to Question 47. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 49.

07cv2385

1	Question 47. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13 14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 47, go to Question 48.
16	If you answered "Yes" to all Defendants as to Question 47, go to Question 49.
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Question 48. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Kelten Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the intentional infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Kelten Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 49.

Question 49. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to Plaintiff Kelten Bruce under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 30? Yes No **X** As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. **X** As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. As to Defendant William Reilly. As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. If you answered "Yes" to Question 49 as to any Defendant, go to Question 50. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 52. 

1	Question 50. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13 14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	
16	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 50, go to Question 51.
17	
18	If you answered " <u>Yes</u> " to all Defendants as to Question 50, go to Question 52.
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

Question 51. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that Plaintiff Trever Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence he suffered in connection with the negligent infliction of emotional distress against him? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to Trever Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 52.

## KRISTIN'S CLAIMS FOR INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Question 52. Are Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or Anthony Salazar liable to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce under state law in the manner described in Court's Instruction No. 28?

9	Yes	No
10	4	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
11	**************************************	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
12 13	Messengerouggenge	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
14	****	As to Defendant William Reilly.
15		As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
16		X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.

If you answered "Yes" to Question 52 as to any Defendant, go to Question 53.

If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 55.

1	Question 53. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2 3	Kneeshaw, Jeffrey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
4	Anthony Salazar permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?
6	Yes No
7	As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8	As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10	As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11	As to Defendant William Reilly.
12	As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13 14	As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
15	
16	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 53, go to Question 54.
17	If you answered " <u>Yes</u> " to all Defendants as to Question 53, go to Question 55.
18	19 you wishord <u>100</u> to an Definance as to Question 33, go to Question 33.
19	
20	
21   22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ı	

Question 54. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence Kristin suffered in connection with the intentional infliction of emotional distress against her? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 55.

1	Question 5	6. Was the conduct of Defendants Michael Knobbe, Gary
2	Kneeshaw, Jeffre	ey Jackson, William Reilly, Gregory Reynolds, and/or
3 4	Anthony Salazar	permissible in the manner described in Court's Instruction
5	No. 29?	
6	Yes No	
7		_ As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.
8 9		_ As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.
10		As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.
11		As to Defendant William Reilly.
12		As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.
13		As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>		_ 1B to Beleficiante i Micholity Sulubur.
16	If you answer	red "No" to any Defendante as to Organism 56, so to Organism 57
17	If you answered " <u>No</u> " to any Defendants as to Question 56, go to Question 57.	
18	If you answered "Y	es" to all Defendants as to Question 56, go to Question 58.
19		
20		
21		
22   23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Question 57. What is the amount of economic and non-economic damages that the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce proved by a preponderance of the evidence Kristin suffered in connection with the negligent infliction of emotional distress against her? (In calculating these damages, do not include emotional distress damages you already awarded to the Estate of Kristin Maxwell-Bruce in response to prior questions. Only include additional damages for emotional distress, if any, that were not previously awarded. Also, see Court's Instruction No. 33 regarding calculating damages and Court's Instruction No. 37 regarding mitigation.)

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Go to Question 58.

**PUNITIVE DAMAGES** 

found a Defendant liable, have the Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance

Question 58. As to any claim under section 1983 as to which you

of the evidence they are entitled to punitive damages as set out in Court's

Instruction No. 34? (In answering this question, apply the "preponderance of the

evidence" standard for section 1983 claims set forth in Court's Instruction No.

34.)

Yes No

X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe.

X As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.

X As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.

If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, go to Question 59. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to Question 60.

X As to Defendant William Reilly.

X As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds.

**X** As to Defendant Anthony Salazar.

1	Question 59. Only answer this question as to Defendants you answered				
2	"Yes" to in Question 58. What amount of punitive damages do you award to				
3	Plaintiffs as to the section 1983 claims under Court's Instruction No. 343				
5	(In determining the amount of punitive damages, apply the "preponderance of the				
6	evidence" standard for section 1983 claims set forth in Court's Instruction No. 34.)				
7	\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Michael Knobbe.				
8	\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.				
9 10	\$ in punitive damages against Defendant William Reilly.				
11					
12					
13	\$in punitive damages against Defendant Gregory Reynolds.				
14	\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Anthony Salazar.				
15					
16	Go to Question 60.				
17					
18					
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

28

Question 60. As to any claim under state law as to which you found a Defendant liable, have the Plaintiffs proved by clear and convincing evidence they are entitled to punitive damages as set out in Court's Instruction No. 34? (In answering this question, apply the "clear and convincing" standard for state law claims set forth in Court's Instruction No. 34.) No Yes X As to Defendant Michael Knobbe. \* As to Defendant Gary Kneeshaw. As to Defendant Jeffrey Jackson. **X** As to Defendant William Reilly. **X** As to Defendant Gregory Reynolds. X As to Defendant Anthony Salazar. If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, go to Question 61. If you answered "No" as to all Defendants, go to the end of the verdict form. The foreperson should sign and date it where indicated. 

Question 61. Only answer this question as to Defendants you answered			
"Yes" to in Question 60. What amount of punitive damages do you award to			
Plaintiffs as to the state law claims under Court's Instruction No. 34? (Instruction No. 34) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$			
determining the amount of punitive damages, apply the "clear and convincing'			
standard for state law claims set forth in Court's Instruction No. 34. Additionally,			
if you have awarded punitive damages against any Defendant in response to			
Question 59, do <u>not</u> include any duplicative award of punitive damages as to tha			
Defendant here. Only award punitive damages as to such a Defendant if those			
damages are additional to any punitive damages awarded as to Question 59.)			
\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Michael Knobbe			
\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Gary Kneeshaw.			
\$ in punitive damages against Defendant William Reilly.			
\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Jeffrey Jackson.			
\$in punitive damages against Defendant Gregory Reynolds.			
\$ in punitive damages against Defendant Anthony Salazar			
1 0 0			
This is the end of the verdict form. Once you have answered the			
questions as instructed, the foreperson should sign and date the verdical			
form where indicated below.			
Detail Where Marcatch Delow.			

26

27

28

Foreperson of the Jury